



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,355	04/09/2001	Varadarajan Srinivasan	P191/WLP	1055	
25670 7:	590 01/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WILLIAM L. PARADICE, III 2686 MCALLISTER STREET			KIM, HON	KIM, HONG CHONG	
SUITE 1	SIER SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCIS	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94118			2186	
				_	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/829,355	SRINIVASAN ET AI	<u></u>			
•	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Hong C Kim	2186				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	corresp ndence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandonent which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 6 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appount of the fee. The apporting the fee. The final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 1-20,23-28,39,40 and 42-58.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>33-35,37,38,67,68,71,72,80-84</u>	and 94-98.					
Claim(s) rejected: 29,30,32,36,59-62,64-66,69,70,73-79,89-93,99 and 100.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	-					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: It appeals that added limitations in claim 29 raise new issue and would require further consideration and search. Added limitations also raise 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph issue. Applicant's remarks that the references not teaching a plurality o rows of cam is not considered persuasive. Feldmeier discloses plurality of rows of cam (col. 8 lines 8-31, more than single matchs reads on this limitation).

HONG CHONG KIN PRIMARY EXAMINER